

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/943,765

Applicant(s)

WILLIAMS, VERNON M.

Examiner

Robert B. Davis

Art Unit

1722

All Participants:

(1) Robert B. Davis.

(2) Trent N. Butcher.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 February 2004

Time: 14:22

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The new matter rejection, 112 2nd rejection, and art rejections.

Claims discussed:

3, 7 and 12.

Prior art documents discussed:

Tsuji et al and Orcutt.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Butcher to suggest deleting "imperforate" from the proposed amendment to the specification and claims 3, 7 and 12 to overcome the new matter rejection. Mr. Butcher agreed to the deletion. It was agreed that the changes would be made by examiner's amendment and that the amendment of Jan. 12, 2004 would not be entered..